

16 May 2018



Better Exteriors  
4/125 Highbury Road  
Burwood VIC 3125

Attention: Mr. Mark Navakas

## Ardenne Limestone Pavers - Durability evaluation

**Client reference:** Request M. Navakas

**Our reference:** BEX0418-1

**Investigating officers:** Kate Tonkin

**Report prepared by:** Kate Tonkin

James P Mann  
Laboratory Manager



	Draft	Reviewed	Released
Name	KT	GB	KT
Date	14/5/18	16/5/18	16/5/18

The client listed above and Stone Initiatives jointly own copyright over this document. This document shall not be reproduced without the express permission of one of the copyright owners and in any case shall only be reproduced in full. Stone Initiatives shall not be liable for loss, cost, damage or expense incurred by any third party resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Stone Initiatives be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. The results relate only to the items tested. Samples will be stored for 30 days.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Stone Initiatives received a request from the client’s representative to evaluate the durability of a limestone sample, proposed for use as paving. The sample supplied was identified as follows:

- Ardenne Limestone (our reference L506)

## 2. EVALUATION

The aim of the investigation was to determine the fitness for purpose of the stone type based on an evaluation of the stone’s resistance to salt attack.

The durability of the samples was determined according to Method A of AS/NZS 4456.10:2003 "Masonry Units and Segmental Pavers- Methods of Test - Method 10: Determining Resistance to Salt Attack". This involved subjecting the specimens to 15 cycles of soaking in a 6.2% sodium sulphate solution for a period of 2 hours followed by overnight drying at 65°C. Specimen size was modified due to sample dimensions supplied.

## 3. RESULTS

Results are summarized in the table below. Full test data are detailed in Appendix A of this report.

Property	Ardenne Limestone
<b>Resistance to Salt Attack</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Weight loss (%)</li> <li>• Mode of decay</li> <li>• Durability Grade</li> </ul>	<p>&lt;0.1 (0.05 – 0.09)</p> <p>Very slight pitting and cracking</p> <p>AA</p>

### 3.1. Discussion

The durability test results indicate a stone that is suitable for aggressive environments, constant wetting and drying and exposure to salt attack. It is important to note that the appearance of any decay that may occur over time will depend on the surface finish. Smooth surfaces such as polished or fine-honed are more likely to show minor pitting or change in gloss compared to textured finishes such as the ‘tumbled’ samples tested.



Plate 1: Appearance of Ardenne Limestone after durability testing.

# Appendix A

# Test Certificates



## RESISTANCE TO SALT ATTACK - SODIUM SULPHATE Test Certificate

<b>TEST METHOD</b>	AS/NZS 4456.10-2003 Method A		
<b>TEST DATE</b>	17-Apr-18		
<b>CLIENT</b>	Better Exteriors		
<b>OUR REFERENCE</b>	BEX0418-1		
<b>SAMPLE</b>	Ardenne Limestone		
<b>SURFACE FINISH</b>	Tumbled		
<b>SAMPLE ORIGIN</b>	Not Known		
<b>SAMPLING DATE</b>	13/04/2018	<b>SAMPLE LOCATION</b>	Not Known
<b>SHAPE and NOMINAL SIZE</b>	Prism: 55mm x 69mm		
<b>WORK SIZE</b>	N/A - Raw material evaluation		
<b>SOLUTION USED</b>	6.2% Sodium Sulphate		

**Conditioning:** Dried for minimum 48 hours @ 65 deg C

Test Number	Specimen Identification	Mass Loss (g)	Loss at 15 Cycles	Mode of Decay
X4211	L506/1	0.15	0.05%	VSL SP, VSL CR
X4212	L506/2	0.23	0.08%	VSL SP, SL CR
X4213	L506/3	0.29	0.09%	VSL SP, SL CR
X4214	L506/4	0.17	0.05%	VSL SP, VSL CR
X4215	L506/5	0.17	0.05%	VSL SP, VSL CR

**MEAN MASS LOSS:** <0.1%

The uncertainty of measurement (u95) for this test value is 0.05%

### Key to Mode of Decay

Degree	Type
VSL= Very Slight	SP= Surface pitting
SL= Slight	CE= Crumbling of edges
MD= Moderate	CR= Cracking
SV= Severe	DL= Delamination
	EX= Exfoliation

*NOTE: The expanded measurement uncertainty values (u95) quoted in this report are at a confidence level of 95 % with a nominal coverage factor of 2. These values do not include any estimate of the effects associated with sampling.*

**COMMENTS/VARIATIONS** Modified specimen dimensions.

**TESTED BY:** K. Tonkin & T. Baggs

**APPROVED SIGNATORY:**

**NAME:** Graham J Baggs



**ISSUE DATE:** 11-May-18

*This report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of Stone Initiatives. Results relate only to the items tested.*